

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/901,981	07/10/2001	Toru Fujiwara	0941.65686	7925	
75	590 10/27/2005		EXAM	INER	
Patrick G. Burns, Esq.			. MEEK, JA	. MEEK, JACOB M	
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD. Suite 2500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
300 South Wacker Dr.			2637	2637	
Chicago, IL 60606 DATE MAILED: 10/27/2005				5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Anntingation No.	Amulianut(a)	X
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	a)
Office Action Summers	09/901,981	FUJIWARA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jacob Meek	2637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addres	is
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 July     This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro-		rits is
Disposition of Claims	•		
4)  Claim(s) 1 - 9, 11 - 13 is/are pending in the apprending of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1 - 9, 10 - 13 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine 10) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stag	ge
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		)

Application/Control Number: 09/901,981 Page 2

Art Unit: 2637

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. The indicated allowability of claims 3, 4, 9, 10 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Viterbi decoding technique and apparatus. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

2. Examiner notes that upon further review of admitted prior art, that figure 3 appears to be substantially applicable to the claims in application in terms of structure and function. Examiner requests that source of prior art be identified so that a proper review can be conducted. Foreign Patents presented in IDS do not appear to disclose the structure of figure 3 or its functionality. From a structural standpoint, figure 3 appears to anticipate applicant's claimed invention. In order to assess properly, the description of apparatus disclosed as prior art in figure 3 needs to be assessed so that functional differences with applicant's claimed invention can be fully determined. Applicant's description of prior art is appreciated, but identification of specific citation is needed in order to properly understand differences in claimed invention and prior art.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-2, 4-8, and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Taguchi et al (US-6,603,722).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

With regard to claim 1, Taguchi discloses a method for data reproduction for reproducing data corresponding to a state transition pass selected as being most likely according to a Viterbi decoding algorithm form a reproduction symbol supplied from a recording medium (see abstract), the method comprising the steps of: detecting at least one state of reproduction signal according to data used for selecting state transition pass (see column 9, line 58 – column 9, line 5), calculating an average values of reproduction signal in state detected by step of detecting (see figure 11, 152 and column 13, lines 56 –column 14, line 3); determining at least one expected value according to average value (see figure 11, 152 and column 13, lines 56 –column 14, line 3); supplying expected value to Viterbi detector such that expected value is used in Viterbi decoding algorithm (see column 9. lines 17 – 26); and following a fluctuation amount of a DC component of reproduction signal according to average value (see figure 4, 16 and column 14, lines 4 – 20).

With regard to claim 2, Taguchi discloses a data reproduction method wherein step of detecting includes the steps of: outputting data supplied to a pass memory of a Viterbi detector as data used for selecting state transition pass (see figure 4, 11 and column 12, 1 – 8); and producing a state signal indicating state according to data used for selecting state transition pass (column 12, lines 42 – 63).

With regard to claim 4, Taguchi discloses a data reproduction method wherein step of following includes the steps of: determining at least one expected value according to average value (see column 14, lines 7 - 19), the expected value being used in Viterbi decoding algorithm (see figure 4, 10, 14), and supplying expected value to Viterbi detector (see column 13, lines 39 - 42).

With regard to claim 5, Taguchi discloses a data reproduction method wherein step of following includes adjusting the fluctuation amount of the DC component according to average value (see column 14, lines 4 – 20).

With regard to claim 6, Taguchi discloses a data reproduction method wherein state is one of a peak, a center, and a bottom portion (see figure 2b, where this is interpreted as equivalent).

With regard to claims 7, and 13 Taguchi discloses an apparatus (see Figure 4) for the reproduction of magneto-optical signals utilizing the method of claim 1, and therefore it would have been obvious considering the aforementioned rejection of claim 1.

With regard to claims 8, 11, and 12 Taguchi discloses an apparatus (see Figure 4) for the reproduction of magneto-optical signals utilizing the method of claims 2, 5, and 6 respectively and therefore it would have been obvious considering the aforementioned rejection of claims 2, 5, and 6.

Application/Control Number: 09/901,981 Page 5

Art Unit: 2637

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taquchi ('772).

With regard to claim 3, Taguchi discloses a data reproduction method wherein calculating step includes the steps of: judging state according to state signal (see column 12, lines 47 – 63); and calculating average value of reproduction signal in state judged by step of judging (see column 12, lines 32 – 41; column 13, line 56 – column 14, line 19). Taguchi does not use the term judging to describe his operation, however, it appears that his operation does perform a judgment based on operational description and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

With regard to claim 9, Taguchi discloses an apparatus (see Figure 4) for the reproduction of magneto-optical signals utilizing the method of claim 3, and therefore it would have been obvious considering the aforementioned rejection of claim 3.

### Other Cited Prior Art

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2637

Hayashi (US-5,556,155) discloses a Viterbi decoder operable with an expected value circuit.

Shieh (US-6,674,816) discloses a Viterbi decoder operable to follow DC offsets.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Meek whose telephone number is (571)272-3013. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571)272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JMM 10/19/05

TEMESO UNE PRESIDENTANISAE